

May 2002

Entropy bounds and Cardy-Verlinde formula in Yang-Mills theory

SHIN'ICHI NOJIRI¹, and SERGEI D. ODINTSOV^{♠2}

*Department of Applied Physics
National Defence Academy, Hashirimizu Yokosuka 239-8686, JAPAN*

*♠ Lab. for Fundamental Study, Tomsk State Pedagogical University
634041 Tomsk, RUSSIA*

ABSTRACT

Using gauge formulation of gravity the three-dimensional $SU(2)$ YM theory equations of motion are presented in equivalent form as FRW cosmological equations. With the radiation, the particular (periodic, big bang-big crunch) three-dimensional universe is constructed. Cosmological entropy bounds (so-called Cardy-Verlinde formula) have the standard form in such universe. Mapping such universe back to YM formulation we got the thermal solution of YM theory. The corresponding holographic entropy bounds (Cardy-Verlinde formula) in YM theory are constructed. This indicates to universal character of holographic relations.

¹nojiri@cc.nda.ac.jp

² odintsov@mail.tomsknet.ru

It becomes popular in theoretical physics to apply different formulations (and even theories) in the description of the same phenomenon. For example, it is expected that Einstein theory presented in Yang-Mills (YM) form is easier to quantize. Moreover, its renormalizability properties seem to be better in YM-like form [1]. From another point, there exists the YM theory presentation in Einstein-like form. In this relation the natural question is: can one achieve some new results in YM theory using recent studies of cosmology based on holographic principle?

In this paper we start the investigation in this direction. Using three-dimensional YM theory as an example we first rewrite it in the gravitational form. The YM equations of motion are then rewritten as FRW cosmological equations where natural definition of Hubble, Bekenstein and Bekenstein-Hawking entropies maybe done. As a result FRW equation is represented in the form similar to two-dimensional CFT entropy (so-called Cardy-Verlinde formula [2]). Introducing the radiation (matter) the explicit solution of such three-dimensional periodic universe is obtained. The cosmological entropy bounds (holographic Cardy-Verlinde formula) are quite simple for such universe. In particular, the Bekenstein entropy is constant. Such three-dimensional universe is then mapped back to YM theory form. (Such procedure maybe considered as an interesting way of generating of YM solutions from FRW cosmological solutions). The correspondent thermal YM solution on which YM action diverges is constructed. The divergence of action is absorbed into the renormalization of the gauge coupling constant. After the identification of YM entropy with Bekenstein entropy the Cardy-Verlinde formula defines the entropy bounds in YM theory.

The action of Yang-Mills theory with the gauge group $SU(2)$ is given by

$$S_{\text{YM}} = \frac{1}{4g_{\text{YM}}^2} \int d^d x \sum_{a=1}^3 \left(F_{ij}^a F^{aij} + 4g_{\text{YM}}^2 A_i^a J^{ai} \right) \quad (1)$$

$$F_{ij}^a = \partial_i A_j^a - \partial_j A_i^a - \sum_{b,c=1}^3 \epsilon^{abc} A_i^b A_j^c. \quad (2)$$

Here d is the dimension of the spacetime and J^{ai} is the external source. For $d = 3$, one can rewrite the action (1) in the following form:

$$S_{\text{YM}} = \frac{1}{2g_{\text{YM}}^2} \int d^d x \sum_{a=1}^3 \left(B_i^a B^{ai} + 2g_{\text{YM}}^2 A_i^a J^{ai} \right). \quad (3)$$

Here B_i^a is defined by

$$B^{ak} = \epsilon^{kij} \left(\partial_i A_j^a - \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{abc} A_i^b A_j^c \right) , \quad (4)$$

The equations of motion are

$$0 = \epsilon^{kij} D_k B_j^a - g_{\text{YM}}^2 J^{ai} = \epsilon^{kij} \left(\partial_k B_j^a - \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{abc} A_k^b B_j^c \right) - g_{\text{YM}}^2 J^{ai} . \quad (5)$$

Here D_i expresses the covariant derivative. On the other hand, Bianchi identities are:

$$0 = D_i B^{ai} . \quad (6)$$

There exists a formulation of gravity starting from the gauge theory, whose gauge group is the Poincare group composed of translations and Lorentz transformations. The gauge field of the translation corresponds to the vierbein (or vielbein) and that of the Lorentz transformation to the spin-connection. The gauge curvature of the translation corresponds to the torsion. Then if we impose a condition that the curvature vanishes, we can solve the spin connection in terms of the vier(viel)bein field if the dimension of the spacetime is larger than 2. As the Lorentz group is $S(d-1, 1)$ for d -dimensional spacetime, one can rewrite the gauge field of $SO(d-1, 1)$ by the vier(viel)bein field. Such a formulation for the supergravity is developed in [4]. In the similar way in ref.[5], 4 dimensional Yang-Mills theory is presented in the Hamiltonian formulation in terms of 3 dimensional gravity³. The parametrization of the gauge field used in the paper corresponds to the expression of the spin connection by the dreibein fields.

In the following, as a most simple and non-trivial case, we consider the case that the dimension of the spacetime is 3 ($d = 3$) and the spacetime is Euclidean, where the Lorentz group is $SO(3)$ or $SU(2)$. In case that the spacetime is 3 dimensional Euclidean space, the Poincare algebra is generated by the generators of the translation T^a ($a = 1, 2, 3$) and the rotation R^a ($a = 1, 2, 3$) around a -axis. The algebra is explicitly given by

$$[R^a, R^b] = \epsilon^{abc} R^c , \quad [R^a, T^b] = \epsilon^{abc} T^c , \quad [T^a, T^b] = 0 . \quad (7)$$

³The presentation of SUSY YM theory in terms of Riemann geometry is recently considered in [6].

Denote the gauge fields corresponding to the translation and the rotation by u_i^a and A_i^a ($a = 1, 2, 3$), respectively. Then the curvatures R_{ij}^{Ta} corresponding to the translation and R_{ij}^{Ra} corresponding to the rotation are given by

$$\begin{aligned} R_{ij}^{Ta} &= \partial_i u_j^a - \partial_j u_i^a - \epsilon^{abc} (A_i^b u_j^c - A_j^b u_i^c) , \\ R_{ij}^{Ra} &= \partial_i A_j^a - \partial_j A_i^a - \epsilon^{abc} A_i^b A_j^c . \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

The relation

$$R_{ij}^{Ta} = 0 \quad (9)$$

corresponds to the torsionless condition in gravity. The condition (9) can be solved with respect to A_i^a :

$$\begin{aligned} A_i^a &= -\epsilon^{abc} (\partial_i u_j^b - \partial_j u_i^b) (u^{-1})_c^j + \frac{1}{4} \epsilon^{bcd} (\partial_k u_j^b - \partial_j u_k^b) (u^{-1})_c^j (u^{-1})_d^k u_i^a \\ &= \frac{\epsilon^{nmk} \partial_m u_k^b (u_n^a u_i^b - \frac{1}{2} u_n^b u_i^a)}{\det u} . \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

Then the field strength B^{ak} defined by (4) is related with the Einstein tensor $G^{ij} = R^{ij} - \frac{1}{2} g^{ij} R$ by

$$B^{ai} = \sqrt{g} u_j^a G^{ij} . \quad (11)$$

Here the metric tensor g_{ij} is defined by

$$g_{ij} = u_i^a u_j^b \quad (12)$$

and R^{ij} and R are the Ricci curvature and the scalar curvature constructed on g_{ij} . Let denote the covariant derivative with respect to the $SO(3)$ gauge group by D_i and the covariant derivative with respect to the gravity by ∇_i . Then Eq.(11) tells that

$$D_j B^{ai} = \sqrt{g} u_j^a (\nabla_j G^{ij} - \Gamma_{jl}^i G^{kl} + \Gamma_{lj}^l G^{kl}) , \quad (13)$$

which leads

$$D_i B^{ai} = \sqrt{g} u_j^a \nabla_i G^{ij} . \quad (14)$$

The Bianchi identity

$$\nabla_i G^{ij} = 0 \quad (15)$$

can be consistent with the gauge Bianchi identity (6). By using (11), the Einstein equation

$$G^{ij} = \kappa^2 T^{ij} , \quad (16)$$

with the energy-momentum tensor $T_{\mu\nu}$ can be rewritten in terms of the gauge fields:

$$B_i^a = B_i^{\text{exta}} , \quad B_i^{\text{exta}} \equiv \frac{\kappa^2}{2} \sqrt{g} u_j^a T^{ij} . \quad (17)$$

Here one can regard B_i^{exta} as an external field, which is related with J^{ai} (5) by

$$\epsilon^{kij} D_k B_j^{\text{exta}} = g_{\text{YM}}^2 J^{ai} . \quad (18)$$

Since the conservation of the energy-momentum tensor $\nabla_i T^{ij} = 0$ corresponds to the “conservation law” of the external fields, if the energy-momentum conservation holds one can construct the solution of the Bianchi identity (6) from the solution of the Einstein equation (16) using (11).

Now we consider more concrete solution. Assume the dreibein fields have a form of

$$u_t^1 = 1 , \quad u_\theta^2 = e^{A(t)} , \quad u_\phi^3 = \sin \theta e^{A(t)} , \quad \text{other components} = 0 , \quad (19)$$

which give the Euclidean FRW metric

$$ds^2 = dt^2 + l^2 e^{2A(t)} (d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2) . \quad (20)$$

The Minkowski signature metric can be obtained changing the compact gauge group $SU(2)$ or $SO(3)$ to the non-compact ones $SU(1,1)$, $SO(2,1)$ or $SL(2, C)$. The assumptions (19) give

$$\begin{aligned} A_t^1 = A_t^2 = A_t^3 = A_\theta^1 = A_\theta^2 = A_\phi^3 &= 0 \\ A_\theta^3 = l e^A \dot{A} , \quad A_\phi^2 = -l \sin \theta e^A \dot{A} , \quad A_\phi^1 &= \cos \theta , \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

$$\begin{aligned} B^{2t} = B^{3t} = B^{1\theta} = B^{3\theta} = B^{1\phi} = B^{2\phi} &= 0 \\ B^{1t} = -l^2 \sin \theta e^{2A} \dot{A}^2 - \sin \theta , \quad B^{2\theta} &= l \sin \theta (\ddot{A} + \dot{A}^2) e^A , \\ B^{3\phi} &= l (\ddot{A} + \dot{A}^2) e^A . \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

If we define B^{ab} by

$$B^{ab} = u_i^b B^{ai} , \quad (23)$$

Eq.(11) tells B^{ab} is symmetric:

$$B^{ab} = B^{ba} . \quad (24)$$

Any $N \times N$ symmetric matrix M , in general, can be diagonalized by a matrix

$$O \text{ from } SO(N), M \rightarrow O^{-1}MO = \begin{pmatrix} m_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & m_2 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \ddots & & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & m_N \end{pmatrix} . \text{ Then using}$$

$SO(3)$ gauge transformation, one can diagonalize B^{ab} . The gauge transformation removes 3 components in B^{ab} , which corresponds to the degree of the freedom. Eq.(19) and also Eq.(22) manifest such a special gauge choice.

The stress-energy tensor may be presented in terms of the energy density ρ and the pressure p as

$$T^{tt} = -\rho , \quad T^{\theta\theta} = l^{-2}e^{-2A}p , \quad T^{\phi\phi} = \frac{1}{l^2 \sin \theta}e^{-2A}p , \quad \text{other components} = 0 , \quad (25)$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} B^{\text{ext } 2t} &= B^{\text{ext } 3t} = B^{\text{ext } 1\theta} = B^{\text{ext } 3\theta} = B^{\text{ext } 1\phi} = B^{\text{ext } 2\phi} = 0 \\ B^{\text{ext } 1t} &= -\frac{\kappa^2}{2}l^2 \sin \theta e^{2A}\rho , \quad B^{\text{ext } 2\theta} = \frac{\kappa^2}{2}l \sin \theta e^A p , \quad B^{\text{ext } 3\phi} = \frac{\kappa^2}{2}le^A p . \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

Then by combining (17), (21) and (26), we obtain the FRW equations:

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{A}^2 + \frac{1}{a^2} &= \frac{\kappa^2}{2}\rho \\ \ddot{A} + \dot{A}^2 &= \frac{\kappa^2}{2}p . \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

If we define J^{ai} by (18), any solution of (27) satisfies the equations of motion (5) by the identification (22). Moreover, if the energy momentum tensor (25) satisfies the conservation law $\nabla_i T^{ij} = 0$, the solution of the equation (27) also satisfies the Bianchi identity (6).

Here $a = le^A$. Combining (21) and (26) with (18), we may obtain the external source J^{ai} .

In gravity one can define the Hubble, Bekenstein and Bekenstein-Hawking entropies S_H , S_B and S_{BH} by [2]

$$\begin{aligned} S_H^2 &= -\frac{(4\pi)^2 V}{\kappa^4} \left(\int d\theta d\phi B^{1t} + \frac{V}{a^2} \right) = \frac{(4\pi)^2 V^2 \dot{A}^2}{\kappa^4} , \\ S_B &= \pi a \int d\theta d\phi B^{\text{ext } 1t} = \pi a E , \quad S_{BH} = \frac{4\pi V}{\kappa^2 a} , \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

with space volume V and the total energy E defined by

$$V = \int d\theta d\phi \sqrt{g} = 2\pi a^2 , \quad E = \int d\theta d\phi \sqrt{g} \rho , \quad (29)$$

Then from FRW equations it follows the relation[2] reminding about two-dimensional CFT entropy [3] (so-called Cardy-Verlinde formula)

$$S_H^2 + S_{BH}^2 = 2S_{BH}S_B . \quad (30)$$

The above equation (30) follows from the first equation in (27).

This equation can be rewritten as

$$S_H^2 = S_B^2 - (S_{BH} - S_B)^2 . \quad (31)$$

The well-known square root like in two-dimensional CFT entropy appears when one evaluates Hubble entropy from above relation. We should note that

$$\Phi = \int d\theta d\phi B^{1t} \quad (32)$$

is total magnetic flux. Then the external flux

$$\Phi^{\text{ext}} = \int d\theta d\phi B^{\text{ext } 1t} \quad (33)$$

corresponds to the total energy

$$\Phi^{\text{ext}} = -E . \quad (34)$$

Since Eq.(XVIIIb) tells $S_B^2 - (S_{BH} - S_B)^2 \geq 0$, Eqs.(28) and (34) give some bound between the external magnetic flux and the spacial volume V :

$$\left(\Phi^{\text{ext}} \right)^2 \geq \left(\frac{4V}{\kappa^2 a^2} + \Phi^{\text{ext}} \right)^2 . \quad (35)$$

We should note, however, the spacial volume V is given from the gravity side.

In order to proceed further, we consider the radiation as a matter. Then the energy density ρ and the pressure p have the following forms:

$$\rho = 2p = \rho_0 e^{-3A} . \quad (36)$$

The FRW equations (27) are

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{A}^2 + \frac{e^{-2A}}{l^2} &= \frac{\kappa^2}{2} \rho_0 e^{-3A} \\ \ddot{A} + \dot{A}^2 &= \frac{\kappa^2}{4} \rho_0 e^{-3A} . \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

The solution of (38) is given by using an intermediate variable η as follows,

$$a = l e^A = a_0 \sin^2 \eta , \quad t = a_0 \left(\eta - \frac{1}{2} \sin 2\eta \right) . \quad (38)$$

The maximum radius of the universe a_0 is given by

$$a_0 = \frac{\kappa^2 l^3}{2} \rho_0 . \quad (39)$$

The universe starts with the big bang at $\eta = 0$ ($t = 0$) and the universe ends with the big crunch at $\eta = \pi$ ($t = \pi a_0$). Then the universe has the period of πa_0 . As this is Euclidean spacetime, one can regard period as the inverse of the temperature T

$$T = \frac{1}{\pi a_0} . \quad (40)$$

Since the energy E (29) is given by

$$E = 4\pi a^2 \rho_0 e^{-3A} = 4\pi l^3 \rho_0 a^{-1} , \quad (41)$$

we find that the Bekenstein entropy S_B is constant:

$$S_B = 4\pi^2 l^3 \rho_0 = \frac{8\pi^2}{\kappa^2} a_0 = 8\pi \kappa^2 T . \quad (42)$$

Here Eqs.(39) and (40) are used. When the radius of the universe is maximal ($a = a_0$), the Hubble entropy S_H and the Bekenstein-Hawking entropy S_{BH} are

$$S_H = 0 , \quad S_{BH} = 16\pi \kappa^2 T . \quad (43)$$

In this case CV formula becomes the identity.

The interesting question is: what happens in terms of Yang-Mills theory? We now evaluate the Yang-Mills action by substituting the classical solution. Being in the Euclidean spacetime, the action can be regarded as the free energy F divided by the temperature T :

$$S_{\text{YM}} = \frac{F}{T} . \quad (44)$$

Using the obtained free energy, the Yang-Mills theory entropy may be evaluated.

In curved spacetime, the action (1) has the following form:

$$S_{\text{YM}} = \frac{1}{4g_{\text{YM}}^2} \int d^3x \sqrt{g} \sum_{a=1}^3 \left(F_{ij}^a F^{a\,ij} + 4g_{\text{YM}}^2 A_i^a J^{ai} \right) . \quad (45)$$

Then (3) looks as:

$$S_{\text{YM}} = \frac{1}{2g_{\text{YM}}^2} \int d^3x \sum_{a=1}^3 \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{g}} B_i^a B^{a\,i} + 2g_{\text{YM}}^2 \sqrt{g} A_i^a J^{ai} \right) . \quad (46)$$

Even in curved spacetime, the Bianchi identity (6) is always satisfied since the Bianchi identity is a topological equation. On the other hand, the equation of motion (5) is modified as

$$0 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{g}} \epsilon^{kij} D_k \left(\frac{B_j^a}{\sqrt{g}} \right) - g_{\text{YM}}^2 J^{ai} . \quad (47)$$

If we define, however, J^{ai} , instead of (18),

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{g}} \epsilon^{kij} D_k \left(\frac{B_j^{\text{ext } a}}{\sqrt{g}} \right) = g_{\text{YM}}^2 J^{ai} , \quad (48)$$

Eq.(47) is always satisfied.

By using the equations of motion (47) and (17), the action (46) can be rewritten in the following form:

$$S_{\text{YM}} = -\frac{1}{2g_{\text{YM}}^2} \int d^3x \frac{1}{\sqrt{g}} \sum_{a=1}^3 B_i^a B^{a\,i} = -\frac{1}{2g_{\text{YM}}^2} \int d^3x \frac{1}{\sqrt{g}} \sum_{a=1}^3 B_i^{\text{ext } a} B^{\text{ext } a\,i} . \quad (49)$$

Then with the help of (19) and (26) one gets

$$S_{\text{YM}} = -\frac{\kappa^4}{8g_{\text{YM}}^2} \int d^t e^{2A} (\rho^2 + 2p^2) . \quad (50)$$

By further substituting the solution (38) and using (36), (39) and (40), we obtain the following expression for the action

$$S_{\text{YM}} = -\frac{6\pi^2}{g_{\text{YM}}^2} T \int_0^\pi \frac{d\eta}{\sin^2 \eta} . \quad (51)$$

The integration in (51) diverges. The divergence may be absorbed into the renormalization of the Yang-Mills coupling g :

$$\frac{1}{g_R^2} \equiv \frac{1}{g_{\text{YM}}^2} \int_0^\pi \frac{d\eta}{\sin^2 \eta} . \quad (52)$$

Using the renormalized coupling constant g_R , the action has the following form:

$$S_{\text{YM}} = -\frac{6\pi^2}{g_R^2} T . \quad (53)$$

From the identification (44), the free energy F is given by

$$F = -\frac{6\pi^2}{g_R^2} T^2 . \quad (54)$$

Then the entropy is

$$\mathcal{S} = -\frac{dF}{dT} = \frac{12\pi^2}{g_R^2} T . \quad (55)$$

If we identify

$$\frac{12\pi^2}{g_R^2} = 8\pi\kappa^2 , \quad (56)$$

the entropy (55) is equal to the Bekenstein entropy S_B (42). As we consider curved spacetime, the spacial volume V is given by (29). Therefore the identification (56) gives a bound for the entropy of the Yang-Mills theory with the external source J^{ai} (48):

$$S_H^2 + S_{BH}^2 = 2S_{BH}\mathcal{S} . \quad (57)$$

As we are now considering the curved spacetime, S_{BH} and S_B (57) is given, as in (28), by the structures of spacetime where the Yang-Mills field lives. Using (28), (32) and the identification $\mathcal{S} = S_B$, the entropy in the Yang-Mills theory maybe expressed in terms of the magnetic flux. We can also rewrite Eq.(57) in the form of (31):

$$S_H^2 = \mathcal{S}^2 - (S_{BH} - \mathcal{S})^2 , \quad (58)$$

which gives the bound for the entropy $\mathcal{S}$:

$$\mathcal{S}^2 \geq S_H^2 , \quad \mathcal{S}^2 \geq (S_{BH} - \mathcal{S})^2 . \quad (59)$$

If necessary the above YM entropy bound found for the particular thermal solution mapped to three-dimensional FRW cosmology maybe completely expressed in terms of gauge fields and sources. Moreover, it is clear that similar procedure maybe applied to study new solutions and entropy bounds for other cases, including YM theory in four and higher dimensions.

There are recent gravitational theory results which have the holographic origin: like FRW cosmology presentation in the form reminding about two-dimensional CFT entropy (see [7] for a recent review) or cosmological entropy bounds. The main lesson of our investigation is that similar results are in some sense universal. They should be expected in other theories, like in the case of YM theory under consideration.

References

- [1] D. Diakonov and V. Petrov, hep-th/0108097, *Grav.& Cosm.* **8** (2002), to appear.
- [2] E. Verlinde, hep-th/0008140.
- [3] J.L. Cardy, *Nucl. Phys.* **B270** (1986) 186,
H.W.J. Bloete, J.L. Cardy, M.P. Nightingale, *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **56** (1986) 742.
- [4] T. Kugo and S. Uehara, *Nucl.Phys.* **B226** (1983) 49; *Prog.Theor.Phys.* **73** (1985) 235.

- [5] P.E. Haagensen and K. Johnson, *Nucl.Phys.* **B439** (1995) 597, hep-th/9408164.
- [6] R. Schiappa, *Nucl.Phys.* **B517** (1998) 462, hep-th/9704206.
- [7] S. Nojiri, S.D. Odintsov and S. Ogushi, hep-th/0205187.